BISHOP STREET CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

Policy

Proxy voting is an important right of shareholders and reasonable care and diligence must be undertaken to ensure that such rights are properly and timely exercised. Investment advisers registered with the SEC, and which exercise voting authority with respect to client securities, are required by Rule 206(4)-6 of the Advisers Act to (a) adopt and implement written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that client securities are voted in the best interests of clients, which must include how an adviser addresses material conflicts that may arise between an adviser's interests and those of its clients; (b) to disclose to clients how they may obtain information from the adviser with respect to the voting of proxies for their securities; (c) to describe to clients a summary of its proxy voting policies and procedures and, upon request, furnish a copy to its clients; and (d) maintain certain records relating to the adviser's proxy voting activities when the adviser does have proxy voting authority.

Bishop Street Capital Management, as a matter of policy and as a fiduciary to our clients, has responsibility for voting proxies for portfolio securities consistent with the best economic interests of the clients. Our firm maintains written policies and procedures as to the handling, research, voting and reporting of proxy voting and makes appropriate disclosures about our firm's proxy policies and practices. Our policy and practice includes the responsibility to monitor corporate actions, receive and vote client proxies and disclose any potential conflicts of interest as well as making information available to clients about the voting of proxies for their portfolio securities and maintaining relevant and required records.

Bishop Street Capital Management has retained the services of Glass-Lewis, a nationally recognized and independent proxy service, to provide research and automated voting for proxy issues based on its research. For the Bishop Street Funds portfolios that are subadvised by other SEC-registered investment advisers, proxy voting authority and disclosure has been delegated to the respective subadviser.

Procedure

Bishop Street Capital Management has adopted various procedures and reviews to implement the firm's policy and to monitor and insure the firm's policy is observed, implemented properly and amended or updated as appropriate. All proxies will be automatically forwarded to Glass-Lewis whose responsibilities will include:

- Review of proxies received against securities held and attempt to obtain any missing proxy materials/ballots prior to the voting deadline.
- Voting the proxies according to the research service provider's recommendation.
- Transmitting the voted proxies to the issuer.
- Recording how each proxy was voted for each client.
- Maintaining appropriate proxy voting records by issuer and for clients.
- Prepare and provide proxy voting reports to clients upon client request.

Glass-Lewis votes all proxies based on their recommendations and underlying voting guidelines and value system. The BSCM Proxy Committee performs an annual review of the Glass-Lewis voting guidelines and value system to confirm that they are consistent with the best economic interests of the firm's clients. The BSCM Proxy Committee also performs an annual review of the Glass-Lewis Policies, Procedures and Practices Regarding Potential Conflicts of Interest to confirm that Glass-Lewis remains independent and objective in the formulation of its recommendations according to its voting guidelines and value system. All reviews and conclusions will be documented in minutes of the BSCM Proxy Committee.

Periodically, the Chief Compliance Officer or designate will review the Glass-Lewis voting record of proxies voted for all BSCM holdings under its direct management upon which the firm has authority to act and that are not under the
oversight of its subadvisers and test proxy votes cast by Glass-Lewis for compliance with the Glass-Lewis voting guidelines and value system then in effect.
The Firm shall vote the proxies of its clients solely in the interest of their participants and beneficiaries and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to them.

The Firm will exercise discretionary voting authority over proxies issued on securities held in client accounts unless voting authority has been reserved explicitly by the client or assigned to another party by the governing account documents. The Firm’s Proxy Voting Guidelines govern its proxy voting activities and which includes the operation of a global Proxy Voting Committee that oversees its global proxy voting activities. This Committee has hired Institutional Shareholder Services (“ISS”) as its voting agent. This Committee has provided ISS with a global proxy voting policy for all portfolios. ISS tracks and receives proxies to which clients are entitled, makes recommendations pursuant to the proxy voting policy provided by the Proxy Voting Committee or, if the ballot item is not addressed by the global proxy voting policy, makes recommendations according to the ISS voting policy.

The Firm’s policy is to follow the recommendations of its global proxy voting policy. However, the Firm’s portfolio managers or analysts may request an override of a Proxy Voting Committee or ISS recommendation if they believe that the recommendation is not in the best interests of the client. In such cases, a process is followed to review and approve a vote other than that recommended by the global proxy voting policy or the ISS voting policy. Based on this process the proxy voting team will execute the votes on the ISS voting platform.

Additionally, there may be instances where the Firm or its personnel are subject to conflicts of interest in the voting of proxies. Conflicts of interest may exist, for example, due to personal or familial relationships of personnel or when the Firm or an affiliate has a business relationship with, or is soliciting business from, the issuing company (or an employee group of a company) or a third party that is a proponent of a particular outcome on a proxy issue. In cases where it believes there may be an actual or perceived conflict of interest, additional review and steps may be taken including obtaining the prior approval of Compliance or Legal, obtaining the Proxy Voting Committee review or approval, deferring to the voting recommendation of a third party, voting pursuant to client direction (following disclosure of the conflict), abstaining from voting, voting reflectively (in the same proportion and manner as other shareholders) or taking such other action as necessary to protect the interests of clients.

In many non-U.S. markets, shareholders may be prevented from selling shares within a certain period of time prior to the meeting date (commonly referred to as share blocking). In such cases the Firm compares the benefits to its clients expected to be derived from the voting of blocked shares versus the ability to sell the blocked shares and as a result may choose not to vote the shares. The Firm may also choose not to vote non-US proxies when the actual costs of voting the shares outweigh the perceived client benefit, such as cases where traveling to the country to vote the shares in person is required. Additionally, where clients have implemented securities lending programs, the Firm will be unable to vote proxies for securities on loan unless it issues instructions to the client custodian to retrieve the securities prior to record date. The Firm may choose to refrain from calling back such securities when the voting of the proxy is not deemed to be material or the benefits of voting do not outweigh the cost of terminating the particular lending arrangement.

Although the Firm generally votes consistently on the same issue when securities are held in multiple client accounts, certain circumstances may cause the Firm to vote differently for different client accounts. Typically, clients do not direct the Firm to vote for a particular solicitation as they authorize the Firm to vote on their behalf within their investment management agreement. Clients may, however, contact the Firm if they request a specific voting decision be made. Clients may obtain information on how the Firm has voted its proxies and/or a copy of the Firm’s complete proxy voting policies and procedures.

1 BNP Paribas Investment Partners is the global brand name for BNP Paribas group’s asset management services, including Fischer Francis Trees & Watts, Inc and BNP Paribas Asset Management, Inc.
Fischer Francis Trees & Watts, Inc. manages only fixed income portfolios which are in the main invested in sovereign, agency or high quality corporate debt. These securities do not typically convey voting rights to the holder and the occurrence of corporate governance notices for these types of investments is considerably less than that encountered for equity investments. Fischer Francis Trees & Watts, Inc.’s policy is to act upon any corporate governance notices received in accordance with any specific client instructions that may be in place. Notwithstanding this policy, where Fischer Francis Trees & Watts, Inc. acts as a proxy on behalf of its clients in responding to such notices, its policy is to exercise the proxy vote in the best interests of the client taking into consideration all relevant factors.

Compliance Monitoring

Compliance with the above policies and procedures will be monitored as part of the Firm’s current Compliance Monitoring Program.
Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures – Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC
(As of April 2011)

General. The policy of Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC (CMIA) is to vote all proxies for fund securities in a manner considered by CMIA to be in the best economic interests of its clients, including the funds that it subadvises, without regard to any benefit or detriment to CMIA, its employees or its affiliates. The best economic interests of clients is defined for this purpose as the interest of enhancing or protecting the value of client accounts, considered as a group rather than individually, as CMIA determines in its discretion. CMIA endeavors to vote all proxies of which it becomes aware prior to the vote deadline; provided, however, that in certain circumstances CMIA may refrain from voting securities. For instance, CMIA may refrain from voting foreign securities if it determines that the costs of voting outweigh the expected benefits of voting and typically will not vote securities if voting would impose trading restrictions. In addition, CMIA will generally refrain from recalling portfolio securities on loan to vote proxies.

Oversight. The operation of CMIA’s proxy voting policy and procedures is overseen by a committee (the Proxy Voting Committee) composed of representatives of CMIA’s equity investments, equity research, compliance, legal and operations functions. The Proxy Voting Committee has the responsibility to review, at least annually, CMIA’s proxy voting policies to ensure consistency with internal policies, regulatory requirements, conflicts of interest and client disclosures.

The Proxy Voting Committee also develops predetermined voting guidelines used to vote securities. The voting guidelines indicate whether to vote for, against or abstain from particular proposals, or whether the matter should be considered on a case-by-case basis. The Proxy Voting Committee may determine to vote differently from the guidelines on particular proposals in the event it determines that doing so is in the clients’ best economic interests. CMIA may also consider the voting recommendations of analysts, portfolio managers and information obtained from outside resources, including one or more third-party research providers. When proposals are not covered by the voting guidelines or a voting determination must be made on a case-by-case basis, a portfolio manager or analyst will make the voting determination based on his or her determination of the clients’ best economic interests. In addition, the Proxy Voting Committee may determine proxy votes when proposals require special consideration.

Addressing Conflicts of Interest. CMIA seeks to address potential material conflicts of interest by having predetermined voting guidelines. In addition, if CMIA determines that a material conflict of interest exists, CMIA will invoke one or more of the following conflict management practices: (i) causing the proxies to be voted in accordance with the recommendations of an independent third party (which may be CMIA’s proxy voting administrator or research provider); (ii) causing the proxies to be delegated to an independent third party (which may be CMIA’s proxy voting administrator or research provider); and (iii) in unusual cases, with the client’s consent and upon ample notice, forwarding the proxies to CMIA’s clients so that they may vote the proxies directly. A member of the Proxy Voting Committee is prohibited from voting on any proposal for which he or she has a conflict of interest by reason of a direct relationship with the issuer or other party affected by a given proposal. Persons making recommendations to the Proxy Voting Committee or its members are required to disclose to the committee any relationship with a party making a proposal or other matter known to the person that would create a potential conflict of interest.

Proxy Voting Agents. CMIA has retained Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., a third-party vendor, as its proxy voting administrator to implement its proxy voting process and to provide recordkeeping and vote disclosure services. CMIA has retained both Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. and Glass-Lewis & Co. to provide proxy research services.

Proxy Voting Guidelines – Effective January 24, 2011. Set forth below are guidelines adopted and used by CMIA (the Adviser, We, Us or Our) in voting proxies (the Guidelines). The Guidelines are organized by issue and present certain factors that may be considered in making proxy voting determinations. The Adviser may, in exercising its fiduciary discretion, determine to vote any proxy in a manner contrary to these Guidelines.